Suffrage organizer Susan B. Anthony (left) and Elizabeth Cady Stanton, founder of the women’s movement.

**The Truth About Susan B. Anthony: Did One of America’s First Feminists Oppose Abortion?**

by Cat Clark

As Feminists for Life has gained more attention in the media, FFL’s pro-life feminist legacy has met with increasing suspicion and skepticism, with some accusing FFL of hijacking America’s leading suffragist, Susan B. Anthony.

“There’s absolutely nothing in anything that she ever said or did that would indicate she was anti-abortion,” Gloria Feldt, former head of the Planned Parenthood Federation of America, told Women’s eNews.

“I don’t know what [Anthony’s] position on abortion is,” Lynn Sherr, an Anthony biographer and ABC 20/20 correspondent, told Women’s eNews, “and for [pro-life feminists] to pretend they do is simply flat-out wrong…. I looked desperately for some kind of evidence one way or the other as to what her position was, and it just wasn’t there.”

Abortion was not an issue to which Anthony devoted much time. But the historical evidence that exists points toward her opposition to abortion.

“Her stance on abortion was one integral expression of her commitment to undo gender oppression, just like her sheltering of a woman and child fleeing domestic violence,” notes pro-life feminist historian Mary Krane Derr. “Susan B. Anthony identified socially entrenched patterns of male sexual and reproductive violence (often fueled by alcohol abuse; hence many early feminists’ concern, including hers, with temperance) as the root cause of abortion and many other miseries of women.”

However, Derr cautions, “while it’s not outrageous to speculate that today Anthony would vigorously champion women’s right to make nonviolent choices regarding their bodies and lives, no one can ever know with absolute certainty what any person from the past would say and do now.”

What did Susan B. Anthony say about abortion? How do modern pro-life feminists continue her legacy?

**Her Friends and Colleagues Denounced Abortion**

“Without known exception,” FFL President Serrin Foster says in *The Feminist Case Against Abortion*, “the early feminists condemned abortion in the strongest terms.”
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Early 18\textsuperscript{th} and 19\textsuperscript{th} century suffragist writings regularly referred to abortion as “ante-natal murder,” “child murder,” “ante-natal infanticide,” or “infanticide,” as Derr points out in \textit{ProLife Feminism Yesterday and Today}.\textsuperscript{2} These early feminists regarded abortion as violence against women and their children, and attributed its practice to the denial of their rights and a dearth of nonviolent choices for women.

While early feminists were indeed concerned about abortion’s physical and psychological dangers to women, as advocates of abortion point out, they also opposed abortion itself—as their use of the term “child murder” implies.

Sarah Norton, who challenged Cornell University to admit women, wrote that she looked forward to a day “when the right of the unborn to be born will not be denied or interfered with.”\textsuperscript{3}

Like Feminists for Life today, the early feminists saw abortion as a symptom of, not a solution to, the struggles women face. And the early feminists, like FFL, sought to eradicate abortion by addressing its root causes.

\textbf{Susan B. Anthony and Abortion: In Her Own Words}

The most important evidence regarding Anthony’s own stance on abortion are those writings which may be attributed to her with certainty. Her comments relating to abortion are few, but considered in the broader context of early feminist writings, it is reasonable to conclude that Anthony was truly both pro-woman and pro-life.

The earliest relevant comment appears in a letter Anthony wrote to Antoinette Brown Blackwell on September 4, 1858. A schoolteacher, doting aunt, and willing babysitter, Anthony loved children, but she expressed frustration that her friends’ family responsibilities limited their availability for suffrage activities. When Elizabeth Cady Stanton was expecting her seventh child, Anthony wrote:
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\begin{flushright}
I only scold now that for a moment’s pleasure to herself or her husband, [Stanton] should thus increase the load of cares under which she already groans—but there is no remedy now...\textsuperscript{4}
\end{flushright}

Once pregnant, the nonviolent choice was clear: Stanton would give birth (to a son, Robert, in March 1859).\textsuperscript{5}

The second comment, much more explicit, appears in Anthony’s famous speech “Social Purity,” delivered on March 14, 1875. Here, abortion is listed with infanticide and other murders among the negative consequences of the “evils” perpetrated by men:

\begin{quote}
The prosecutions on our courts for breach of promise, divorce, adultery, bigamy, seduction, rape; the newspaper reports every day of every year of scandals and outrages, of wife murders and paramour shooting, of abortions and infanticides, are perpetual reminders of men’s incapacity to cope successfully with this monster evil of society.\textsuperscript{6}
\end{quote}

This speech clearly presents abortion as a symptom of the problems faced by women, especially when subjected “to the tyranny of men’s appetites and passions.” Anthony argues that it is not sufficient to address these effects; “the cause must be removed...the tap-root must be severed” by enfranchising women, acknowledging their rights in family and society, and empowering them to make nonviolent choices.

A third piece of evidence comes from a pair of entries in Anthony’s diary, written while she was visiting her brother Daniel during a speaking tour in 1876. Daniel’s wife was apparently suffering the effects of an abortion:

\begin{quote}
[March 4] Sister Annie in bed—been sick for a month—tampering with herself—and was freed this A.M. what ignorance & lack of self-government the world is filled with. [March 7] Sister Annie better—but looks very slim—she will rue the day she forces nature—\textsuperscript{7}
\end{quote}
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The latter entry is reminiscent of one that appeared in Mary Wollstonecraft’s *A Vindication of the Rights of Woman*, which Anthony serialized in her own newspaper *The Revolution*. Regarding women who “either destroy the embryo in the womb, or cast it off when born,” Wollstonecraft wrote: “Nature in every thing demands respect, and those who violate her laws seldom violate them with impunity.”

A final relevant comment is recorded by fellow suffragist Frances Willard. When complimented by a man, “you, of all women I have met, ought to have been a wife and mother,” Anthony replied:

I thank you, sir…but sweeter even than to have had the joy of caring for children of my own has it been to me to help bring about a better state of things for mothers generally, so that their unborn little ones could not be willed away from them.\(^\text{10}\)

**Anthony’s Newspaper Opposed Abortion**

Among her many forms of activism, Susan B. Anthony was the proprietor and business manager of the early feminist newspaper *The Revolution*. From the very beginning, *The Revolution* had a known policy that “no quack or immoral advertisements [for patent medicines] will be admitted,” though these ads were a large source of revenue for periodicals of the time.\(^\text{11}\)

"Restellism," a period term for abortion, was named for Ann Lohman, who became notorious for advertising and selling abortifacient medicines and performing surgical abortions under the name "Madame Restell.

The women who produced the paper were not opposed to alternative and self-help medicine, but refused such advertisements because "Restellism [a period term for abortion] has long found in these broths of Beelzebub, its securest hiding place."\(^\text{12}\)

This policy undermines some advocates of abortion’s contention that early feminists’ opposition to abortion was because it was a dangerous procedure and performed late in pregnancy. In fact, the patent medicines that *The Revolution* refused to advertise were often abortifacients designed to induce early miscarriage.

---

\textit{The Revolution} ad policy could only be implemented with Anthony’s approval. Her responsibilities included selling ads, and the policy remained in place despite the newspaper’s financial problems. When the newspaper went bankrupt in 1870, Anthony assumed responsibility for the $10,000 debt, which she paid off through “terrible struggle” over a period of seven years.\(^\text{13}\)

Another possible piece of evidence regarding Anthony’s stance on abortion may be found in a *Revolution* article “Marriage & Maternity,” which is simply signed “A.”\(^\text{14}\)

The best known passage says:

Guilty? Yes, no matter what the motive, love of ease, or a desire to save from suffering the unborn innocent, the woman is awfully guilty who commits the deed. It will burden her conscience in life, it will burden her soul in death; but oh! thrice guilty is he who, for selfish gratification…drove her to the desperation which impelled her to the crime.

As advocates of abortion have correctly pointed out, the article does not favor a law punishing abortion. But it is clearly not an endorsement of abortion:

Much as I deplore the horrible crime of child-murder, earnestly as I desire its suppression, I cannot believe… that such a law would have the desired effect. It would only be mowing off the top of the noxious weed, while the root remains. We want prevention, not merely punishment. We must reach the root of the evil, and destroy it.

The article argues that a law, in that time and place, would only be used to punish the wrong people: “All the articles on this subject…. denounce women alone as guilty, and never include man in any plans proposed for the remedy of the evil…. If man takes [woman’s] individuality [by subjecting her to his demands] he must also take her responsibility. Let him suffer.”\(^\text{continued on page 4}\)
Was this article, which appeared in Susan B. Anthony’s newspaper, written by Anthony? Ann Gordon, editor of *The Selected Papers of Elizabeth Cady Stanton and Susan B. Anthony*, told *Women’s eNews* that Anthony did not write the article, and Lynn Sherr concurred. But Mary Krane Derr, co-editor of *ProLife Feminism Yesterday and Today*, has argued that the article may indeed be Anthony’s.

At the time, members of *The Revolution* staff frequently signed articles with their initials: Elizabeth Cady Stanton as “E.C.S.,” Paulina Wright Davis as “P.W.D.,” and Susan B. Anthony as “S.B.A.” Anthony was also known as “Miss A.” Sherr’s reported claim that “Anthony didn’t sign her pieces ‘A.’”\(^{15}\) presumes a conclusion that needs to be proven.

The “Marriage & Maternity” article, furthermore, bears striking resemblance to Anthony’s “Social Purity” speech in language, concepts, and themes. Laws, according to both, were wrongly used to punish people whose actions were impelled by desperate circumstances—people who could not support themselves financially, vote, or even, in many cases, testify in court. Both pieces regard abortion as a social ill, a consequence of wrongs perpetrated by men against women that is best eradicated by addressing its root causes and expanding nonviolent choices. Both uphold the right of women to refuse the sexual demands of their husbands as a necessary part of the solution. Despite their different subjects and modes of communication, the similarities are remarkable.

Feminists for Life is, nevertheless, cautious about the attribution of “Marriage & Maternity.” In FFL materials, it is simply said to have appeared in Susan B. Anthony’s publication, *The Revolution*.
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“If one reads the actual text of The Revolution, and every mention of abortion in Anthony’s newspaper opposed abortion, then how can anyone come to the conclusion that she was in favor it?” asks Serrin Foster.

The Logical Conclusion: Anthony Was Pro-Woman and Pro-Life

Susan B. Anthony’s words and actions, in the broader context of early American feminism, lead to the logical conclusion that Anthony was truly pro-woman and pro-life.

Feminists for Life, like Anthony and our other feminist foremothers, recognizes that abortion is a reflection that our society has failed to meet the needs of women. In response, we are dedicated to systematically eliminating the root causes that drive women to abortion—primarily lack of practical resources and support—through holistic, woman-centered solutions. We promote the resources women want and need to make nonviolent choices, and our own efforts are shaped by the core feminist values of justice, nondiscrimination, and nonviolence.

FFL’s mission resonates increasingly with those who find abortion to be an unacceptable “solution” to the difficulties that pregnant women and parents face.

“It is not enough to say ‘no’ to abortion. We say ‘yes’ to life,” says Serrin Foster. “While we would be pro-life feminists whether or not Susan B. Anthony and the other early American feminists opposed abortion, we are proud to continue their legacy. By giving birth to new solutions, Feminists for Life builds on the foundation they created. We are working to realize their unfulfilled vision for the world.”

The author is grateful for the research and assistance provided by Mary Krane Derr, co-editor of ProLife Feminism Yesterday and Today: Expanded Second Edition.
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